1 X FILED _RECEIVED __COPY 2 MAY 20 1996 3 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 4 BY /s/D. Lucas DEPUTY 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 9 In the matter of 10 11 URINALYSIS TESTING FOR PRESENTENCE INVESTIGATIONS 12 **GENERAL ORDER 98-45** 13 14 15 16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that GENERAL ORDER 221 is amended as follows: 17 This Court has a significant interest in determining the appropriate sentencing alternative for 18 19 every defendant over whom this Court has jurisdiction and this Court finds that the supervision of 20 defendants by the United States Probation Office presents a "special need beyond the normal need for 21 law enforcement," accordingly. 22 **IT IS ORDERED** that any defendant who is determined to have a personal history of controlled 23

24

25

26

27

28

LODGED

substance/drug-related problems, including alcohol problems, or has a history of controlled substance/drug arrests or convictions, including arrests or convictions for alcohol related offenses or whose present offense involves or is reasonably believed by the probation officer to relate to controlled substance/drugs or alcohol shall be required, as directed by the United States Probation Office, to submit

to urine testing to determine substance abuse for presentence investigative purposes. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Subsection 3661, that any defendant who refuses to discuss substance/drug/alcohol abuse history with the United

States Probation Officer, for whatever reason, shall be required to submit to urine testing to determine substance abuse for presentence investigative purposes. **DATED** this $\underline{20^{th}}$ day of May, 1996. /s/ Robert C. Broomfield Robert C. Broomfield, Chief Judge